1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. CR16-277RSM
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS TO GOVERNMENT'S
10	v.	SLIDESHOW AND DENYING MOTION TO PRECLUDE
11	ROBERT D. THORSON	IMPEACHMENT WITH PRIOR CONVICTIONS
12	Defendant.	
13	This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Robert D. Thorson's Objections to the	
14	USA's Opening Slide Show (Dkt. #70) and his Motion to Preclude Impeachment with Prior	
15	Convictions (Dkt. #72). Mr. Thorson is charged in a two-count indictment with one count of	
16	Production of Visual Depictions of Minors Engaged in Sexually Explicit Conduct in violation of	
17	18 U.S.C §§ 2251(a), (e), (Count 1), and one count of Possession of Visual Depictions of Minors	
18	engaged in Child Pornography in violation of 18 USC §§ 2252(a)(4)(B), (b)(2) (Count 2).	
19	Dkt. #1. Mr. Thorson objects to the use of five slides in the government's opening PowerPoint	
20	presentation. Dkt. #70 at 2-4. One slide ("Slide 28") contains a photograph of Mr. Thorson	
21	alongside photographs of the three alleged minor victims. <i>Id.</i> at 2. The remaining four slides	
22	("Slides 23-26") contain images and excerpts of letters allegedly written by Mr. Thorson.	
23	Id., Ex. A at 23-26. Mr. Thorson also seeks an order prohibiting the government from	
24	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS TO GOVERNMENT'S SLIDESHOW AND DENYING MOTION TO PRECLUDE	

IMPEACHMENT WITH PRIOR CONVICTIONS -

impeaching him with evidence of his prior convictions. Dkt. #72 at 1. For the reasons discussed herein, Mr. Thorson's objections (Dkt. #70) and motion (Dkt. #72) are DENIED.

Mr. Thorson's objection to the government's use of Slide 28 is DENIED. Contrary to Mr. Thorson's assertions, the Court does not agree that Slide 28 is unfairly prejudicial. Images of Mr. Thorson and the alleged minor victims are not inadmissible evidence, and placing Mr. Thorson's photograph on the same slide as that of the alleged victims' photographs is not improper argument. Mr. Thorson argues that Slide 28 is "likely to inflame resentment and present a prejudicial visual impression on the jury," but, aside from this conclusory statement, Mr. Thorson does not explain how photographs of persons to be mentioned in the government's opening statement could engender such feelings. Unlike in *In re Glassman*, a case cited by Mr. Thorson in support of this objection, the government has not altered the photographs in Slide 28 to improperly express an opinion with respect to Mr. Thorson's guilt. 286 P.3d 673, 706-707 (Wash. 2012). Mr. Thorson's objection to Slide 28 is accordingly DENIED.

Mr. Thorson's objection to the government's use of Slides 23-26 is also DENIED. As an initial matter, the Court notes that Mr. Thorson's objection to the use of letters allegedly written by Mr. Thorson is untimely. The pre-trial motions deadline was set for January 9, 2017. Dkt. #13. Although the government provided these letters to Mr. Thorson in discovery, Mr. Thorson failed to object to the use of these letters until the eve of trial. As previously noted by the Court in addressing Mr. Thorson's untimely motion to dismiss (Dkt. #54) the Court need not consider untimely motions. Fed. R. Crim. P. 12(c)(3). However, even if timely, Mr. Thorson's objection nonetheless warrants denial because the letters and excerpts contained in Slides 23-26, although "other act" evidence, are admissible as evidence of consciousness of guilt.

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS
TO GOVERNMENT'S SLIDESHOW AND
DENYING MOTION TO PRECLUDE
IMPEACHMENT WITH PRIOR CONVICTIONS -

E.g., Phillips v. United States, 334 F.2d 589, 592 (9th Cir. 1964) (allowing evidence of threat 2 made by defendant because it showed consciousness of guilt). 3 Finally, the Court DENIES Mr. Thorson's Motion to Preclude Impeachment with Prior Convictions (Dkt. #72). Prior to bringing his motion to preclude impeachment with prior 5 convictions, the Court granted Mr. Thorson's motion to exclude evidence of his prior convictions 6 and imprisonment. See Dkts. #20 and #46. In response to that motion, the government agreed 7 not to offer prior conviction evidence at trial, but reserved the right to revisit the issue if it became necessary. Dkt. #28 at 2. The government also agreed to raise any Rule 609 issues with 8 the Court outside the presence of the jury. Id. The government now reiterates that this issue is best reserved for trial. Dkt. #75 at 6. The Court agrees with the government. If the government 10 11 seeks to impeach Mr. Thorson with evidence of his prior convictions, the Court will address those issues at trial. 12 13 For the reasons discussed herein, Mr. Thorson's objections (Dkt. #70) to Slides 23, 24, 14 25, 26, and 28 of the government's opening PowerPoint presentation are DENIED. Mr. 15 Thorson's Motion to Preclude Impeachment with Prior Convictions (Dkt. #72) is also DENIED. 16 17 Dated this 7 day of February, 2017. 18 19 ARDO S. MARTINEZ 20 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 21 22 23

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS
TO GOVERNMENT'S SLIDESHOW AND
DENYING MOTION TO PRECLUDE
IMPEACHMENT WITH PRIOR CONVICTIONS -